

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/917,428	07/27/2001	Charles C. Haluzak	10008368-1	1124	
7590 07/02/2004			EXAMINER		
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration			CREPEAU, JONATHAN		
P.O. Box 27240			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Fort Collins, C	CO 80527-2400		1746		
			DATE MAILED: 07/02/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				('-
	Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/917,42	09/917,428 HALUZAK, CHARL		LES C.
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		S. Crepeau	1746	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	ion appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATORY Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) dayout if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no evo ation. ys, a reply within the stat y period will apply and w by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tin story minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice up 	☑ This action is nallowance except	for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from co			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	accepted or b) to the drawing(s) become correction is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 Cl	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action fo	uments have bee uments have bee ne priority docume Bureau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite	D-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action Summa	r y Pa	rt of Paper No./Mail D	ate 20040629

Application/Control Number: 09/917,428

Art Unit: 1746

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This Office action addresses claims 1-17. Applicant's argument regarding the §102 rejection is deemed persuasive and the rejection is withdrawn. However, the claims are newly rejected under 35 USC §103 herein. As such, this action is non-final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. Claims 1-10 and 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jankowski et al (U.S. Pre-Grant Publication No. 2003/0138685).

Regarding claims 1 and 14, the reference is directed to a miniaturized thin-film fuel cell (see abstract). As shown in Figure 8 and described in paragraph 43, the fuel cell comprises a manifold structure constructed from a first substrate (upper plate 211') having a face surface and a fuel chamber (220) defined therein for receiving the fuel, the fuel chamber further having an opening along the face surface. A first anode-electrolyte-cathode assembly (213) is secured to the top face surface of the substrate and hydraulically seals the fuel chamber from the oxidant chamber. Regarding claim 4, the substrate may comprise a plurality of fuel chambers (see paragraph 43). Regarding claims 7 and 16, the fuel cell further comprises a second manifold structure constructed from a second substrate (lower plate 211') and a second anode-electrolyte-cathode assembly (213) secured to the bottom face of the second substrate (see Fig. 8).

Regarding claims 8 and 16, the first and second manifold structures are spaced apart from each

Application/Control Number: 09/917,428

Art Unit: 1746

other and are operably secured within a frame (216) to define first and second oxygen containing regions within the frame. Regarding claims 9 and 13, the first and second substrates are bonded together, the back surfaces of the substrates allowing the respective fuel chambers to be in fluid communication with each other. Regarding claims 10, 15, and 17, a plurality of the fuel cells may be stacked, either within the same frame (i.e., horizontally) or along frames that are placed adjacent to each other (i.e., vertically) (see paragraph 43). Regarding claims 2 and 3, the substrate is a silicon wafer (see paragraphs 27 and 28). Regarding claims 5 and 14, the fuel cell is a proton exchange membrane fuel cell (see paragraphs 26 and 35). Regarding claim 6, the electrolyte may have a thickness of 0.5-50 microns (see paragraph 41).

It is noted that the claimed apparatus and the prior art apparatus are identical except for the elongate shape of the fuel chambers and associated fuel cells. However, such changes in shape are generally considered to be a matter of choice which a person of ordinary skill in the art would have found obvious absent persuasive evidence that the particular configuration of the claimed apparatus is significant. See MPEP §2144.04(IV). In his remarks, the Applicant asserts that the amount of electricity produced can be optimized by increasing the surface area of contact between the fuel and adjacent electrode. However, the concept of increasing the surface area of an electrode to increase current output is well-known in the art and is not considered to represent an inventive step or an unexpected result. As such, the claimed subject matter is still not considered to be distinguished over Jankowski.

Application/Control Number: 09/917,428

Art Unit: 1746

3. Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jankowski et al. as applied to claims 1-10 and 13-17 above, and further in view of Washington et al (U.S. Patent 5,300,370).

Jankowski et al. do not expressly teach that the plurality of channels, i.e., fuel chambers, are in fluid communication with each other in a parallel or serpentine configuration, as recited in claims 11 and 12.

Washington et al. is directed to a flow field assembly for a fuel cell. In column 13, line 45, the reference teaches that its flow field assemblies can be formed with continuous, serpentine flow channels.

Therefore, the invention as a whole would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made because the artisan would be motivated to use the serpentine channel configuration of Washington et al. in the fuel cell of Jankowski et al. In column 3, line 12, Washington et al. teach that "[t]he continuous channel design promotes the forced movement of water through each channel before the water can coalesce, thereby promoting uniform reactant flow across the surface of the cathode." Accordingly, the artisan would be motivated to use the serpentine channel configuration of Washington et al. in the fuel cell of Jankowski et al.

Art Unit: 1746

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan Crepeau whose telephone number is (571) 272-1299. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM - 6:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr, can be reached at (571) 272-1414. The phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 272-1700. Documents may be faxed to the central fax server at (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jonathan Crepeau Patent Examiner Art Unit 1746

June 29, 2004